

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassackin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.oepic.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,455	03/31/2004	Robert W. Seaton JR.	16222U-014110US	8400
66945 TOWNSEND	7590 09/21/200 AND TOWNSEND CF	EXAM	EXAMINER	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER, 8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			BAYOU, YONAS A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/816,455	SEATON ET AL.	
Review		Art Unit	
Review	KAMBIZ ZAND	2434	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief R	request for Review filed 31 July 2009.
 Improper Request – The Request reason(s): 	t is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not include rea	en filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. asons why a review is appropriate. Jed with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	tinues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from cation, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under app is required to submit an appeal brief in a brief will be reset to be one month from r running from the receipt of the notice of	eals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has bee eal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicar coordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filling an appea railing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filling of the 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date.
The panel has determined the s Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideral	.,
	ence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ⊠ Reopen Prosecution – A conference action will be mailed. No further action is	nce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office s required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) KAMBIZ ZAND.	(3) <u>Andrew Nalven</u> .
(2) Yonas Bayou.	(4)
/Kambiz Zand/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434	

Part of Paper No. 20090917